Planters Cotton Oil Co. (Inc.), a corporation, Waxahachie, Tex., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about December 19, 1927, from the State of Texas into the State of Montana, of a quantity of cottonseed cake which was misbranded. The article was labeled in part: (Tag) "43% Protein Cotton Seed Cake Manufactured by Planters Cotton Oil Co., Inc., Waxahachie, Texas. Guaranteed Analysis Protein (not less than) 43%."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the statements, to wit, "43% Protein Cotton Seed Cake," and "Guaranteed Analysis, Protein (not less than) 43%," borne on the label, were false and misleading in that the said statements represented that the article was 43 per cent protein cottonseed cake and contained not less than 43 per cent of protein, and for the further reason that it was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that it was 43 per cent protein cottonseed cake and contained not less than 43 per cent of protein, whereas the said article was not 43 per cent protein cottonseed cake, in that it contained less than 43 per cent of protein.

On February 18, 1929, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$100.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16279. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 15 Cubes of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 23616. I. S. No. 05970. S. No. 1816.)

On March 14, 1929, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 15 cubes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Sheridan Creamery Co., from Portland, Oreg., March 8, 1929, and transported from the State of Oregon into the State of California, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 per cent of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On March 15, 1929, the Sheridan Creamery, Portland, Oreg., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$450, conditioned that it be made by claimant to conform with the law under the supervision of this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE. Secretary of Agriculture.

16280. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 22 Cubes of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 23606. I. S. No. 05964. S. No. 1801.)

On March 9, 1929, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 22 cubes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Marion Creamery & Produce Co., Portland, Oreg., March 2, 1929, and transported from the State of Oregon into the State of California, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 per cent of milk fat had been substituted wholly or in part for butter.

On March 20, 1929, Alfred Monotti, San Francisco, Calif., having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, conditioned in part that it be made to conform to and with the Federal food and drugs act under the supervision of this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.